

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/652,643 08/28/2003		08/28/2003	Steven J. Bullied	EH-10592(02-648)	EH-10592(02-648) 1822		
34704	7590	11/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BACHMAN	V & LAP	OINTE, P.C.		LIN, KU	LIN, KUANG Y		
900 CHAPEI	LSTREE	Γ					
SUITE 1201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW HAVE	N CT 0	06510		1725		•	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. (_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,643	BULLIED ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communicat 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition of Claims	x panto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11, 10		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction and the correction are the corrections.	•	• •	1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification for the claimed feature that the disk is formed separately from the process for making the blade.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear whether the statement of "separately formed disk" is referred to forming the disk in a separate process step or to forming the disk in a separate mold cavity. In claim 8, line 4, it is not clear what "such inspection" is referred to.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Page 3

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-3 and 5-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Blazek (4,702,298) or Blazek et al. (4,170,256 or 4,066,116) and further in view of Ford (2002/0005265).

Each of the prior art references substantially shows the invention as claimed except that they do not show to provide a single distribution manifold having a plurality of conduits (claim 1), a core for forming a interior surface of the blade (claim 3), the filling level of the molten metal (claim 5), the filter (claims 6 and 13), assembling patterns on top of a plate (claim 7), disassembling step (claim 11) and the composition of the alloy.

However, Ford shows to provide a single manifold having a plurality of conduits, wherein each mold cavity is connected to a respective a conduit. In view of the prior art teaching as a whole, it would have been obvious to provide the casting apparatus of the primary references with the molten metal dispensing design of Ford such that to reduce the number of pouring cups needed. Further, it is conventional to provide a core for forming a hollow air passage for a turbine blade and to use Ni or Co base superalloy for casting the same, to provide a filter in an investment mold for filtering inclusions, to obtain an optimal filling level through a routine experimentation, to provide a working

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

platform for assembling the patterns, to disassemble the mold assembly as soon as the solidification is complete.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725